



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,331	02/08/2001	Gregory E. Agoston	43170-253406 5897 (05213-0731)	
7590 11/19/2004			EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
Attn: Suzanne S	Seavello Shope			
Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Peachtree Street			1616	
Atlanta GA 3	20300 4530			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/779,331	AGOSTON ET AL.				
, and the second second	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Sabiha Qazi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicance  1) a timely filed amendment whice al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailib) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitnely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	ïnally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-30.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:		SABIHA QAZI, PH.D				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims are considered obvious. Arguments are found persuasive for anticipation rejection therefore, this rejection is with drawn. Other rejections are maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous office actions. Examiner notes, that no compound has been prepared or method described having claimed substituents at Ra position. Arguments were fully considered but are not found persuasive.

In absence of any criticality and/or unexpected results presently claimed invention is considered obvious over the prior art of record.